

#3.3.3

> **From:** Sean Mason
> **Sent:** Saturday, April 4, 2020 2:25 PM
> **To:** Raymond Lai <Lai@camosun.bc.ca>
> **Subject:** 250B 3.3.3 Help

>

> Hi Raymond, after spending the better part of 3 hours trying to get this question I've decided to reach out for some help.

> My first action from looking through the similar examples would be the equation for flux.

$$\Phi = \iint_S \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} \, dS.$$

> We already know F and so that leaves us to find the normal unit vector.

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{N}}{\|\vec{N}\|}$$

> We then solve for the fraction using the following:

$$\vec{N} = \pm \nabla F = \pm \nabla [z(x, y) - z] = \pm [z_x(x, y) \vec{i} + z_y(x, y) \vec{j} - \vec{k}]$$

> and $\sqrt{1 + [z_x(x, y)]^2 + [z_y(x, y)]^2}$ for $\|\vec{N}\|$.

> Solving for the numerator we get $\pm [2x \vec{i} + 0 \vec{j} - \vec{k}]$

> However the notes only say that

The use of positive or negative sign depends on the orientation of the surface.

> It does not explain much more than that. **Some more info on this would be appreciated.**

The question asks for the outward flux, so we need to pick the sign (positive or negative) so that the normal vector points outward from the solid region T , hence (from the picture) \vec{N} needs to point upward which means the third component of \vec{N} (that is, the coefficient of \vec{k}) needs to be positive and this is achieved by picking the negative sign:

$$-(2x \vec{i} + 0 \vec{j} - \vec{k}) = -2x \vec{i} - 0 \vec{j} + \vec{k}$$

> The denominator is found via the following calc.

$$\|\vec{N}\| = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\right)^2} = \sqrt{1 + (2x)^2 + 0} = \sqrt{1 + 4x^2}$$

> So we get $\vec{n} = \frac{-2x \vec{i} + 0 \vec{j} + \vec{k}}{\sqrt{1 + 4x^2}}$

> However looking at the solutions

$$\iint_R ((x^2 + y(x^2)) \vec{i} + [y(x + x^2)] \vec{j} + (y^2 - x(x^2)) \vec{k}) \cdot (-2x \vec{i} + 0 \vec{j} + \vec{k}) \, dA$$

> it appears as though the denominator was not used or I have missed something.

We do have the denominator when we compute the dot product " $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{n}$ "; however, to set up the double integral for this surface integral, we use formula (37) and the denominator is cancelled by the "square root factor" $\sqrt{1 + [z_x(x, y)]^2 + [z_y(x, y)]^2}$ in that formula.

> The rest of the problem relies on this calculation so I am currently stuck at this point. Further, Looking at the solution it seems that you have substituted $Z=x^2$ in F. This is not discussed in any examples as far as I can see and I would **definitely appreciate more info on this.**

When we apply formula (37), we replace the variable z by a function of x and y representing that variable: on the right hand side of formula (37), the function $f(x, y, z)$ is replaced by $f(x, y, z(x, y))$.

For instance, in the solution of the example on page 89, we have $f(x, y, z) = x + 2z$; when we set up the double integral, since $z = 6 - 3x - 2y$, we replace $x + 2z$ by $x + 2(6 - 3x - 2y)$.